## ANALYSIS OF UNDUE ECONOMIC BURDEN

## SPRINT ANALYSIS VS. SWIFTEL ANALYSIS BASED ON TABLE FOUND ON PAGE 21 OF SWIFTEL PETITION

Α	В	С		D		E		F		G
		Swiftel Analysis				Sprint Analysis				
Row	Section 251(B) Obligations	Sp	rint Only	Α	II Carriers	Sp	rint Only	All	Carriers	Notes
11	Local Number Portability	\$	0.52	\$	0.52	\$	0.17	\$	0.17	1
12	(Wireless) Dialing Parity		0.86		4.69		-		-	2
13	Reciprocal Compensation		0.01		0.09		(0.01)		(0.12)	3
14	Transport (Local Traffic)		0.59		0.59		0.04		0.04	4
15	Transport (Access Traffic)		0.99		5.23		_		-	5
16										
17	Total Per Access Line		2.97		11.12		0.20		0.09	
18	Number of Access Lines		11,010		11,010		11,010		11,010	
19			,		•		,		,	
20	5-Year Grand Total Cost	\$	392,396	\$	1,469,174	\$	25,844	\$	11,752	
21	Annual Grand Total Cost		78,479		293,835		5,169		2,350	

## Notes

- (1) Common costs elliminated. Other undocumented Swiftel costs reduced by 50% pending Swiftel's response to Sprint Data Request.
- (2) Swiftel analysis incorrectly assumes it must route its originating traffic over interconnection facility with Sprint.
- (3) Swiftel analysis incorrectly ignores reciprocal compensation revenues from terminating other carriers' traffic.
- (4) Swiftel grossly overestimates traffic, and overstates facility cost when compared to Swiftel testimony in Sprint Arbitration Docket No. TC06-176.
- (5) Swiftel analysis incorrectly assumes it must route its originating traffic over interconnection facility with Sprint.